

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,893		12/29/2000	Robert Palifka	09991-014001	09991-014001 6685	
26171	7590	02/15/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P			
1425 K STR 11TH FLOO	-	W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500				2863		
				DATE MAILED: 02/15/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/749,893	PALIFKA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael P. Nghiem	2863					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 F</u>	<u>ebruary 2005</u> .						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon	·		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 29-33,35-45,48,50-52,54-58,60,61 and 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 29-33,35-45,48,50-52,54-58,60,61,63 6) ☐ Claim(s) 101 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.	wn from consideration. 3 <u>-100 and 102-106</u> is/are allowed.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
)│ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application Inity documents have been receive U (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment/s\							
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	2.450)				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Amendment filed on February 5, 2005 has been acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 101 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moynihan et al. (US 6,755,511).

Moynihan et al. discloses a method (Fig. 5) of manufacturing an ink jet printing module (2) comprising:

- contacting a first component (34, 34', Fig. 5) of an ink jet printing module (2) having a surface with a thermoplastic bonding component (epoxy, column 3, lines 2-3);

Application/Control Number: 09/749,893 Page 3

Art Unit: 2863

- heating the surface to bond the surface to the thermoplastic bonding component (column 3, lines 2-3) wherein the first component of an ink jet printing module includes lead zirconium titanate (PZT, column 2, lines 58-59) and the thermoplastic bonding component has a thickness between 10 micron and 125 microns (15 microns, column 5, lines 64-67).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 101 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeYoung et al. (US 4,751,774) in view of Moynihan et al..

DeYoung et al. discloses a method of manufacturing an ink jet printing module (Fig. 3) comprising:

- contacting a first component (12) of an ink jet printing module (3) having a surface with a thermoplastic bonding component (14);

Art Unit: 2863

- heating the surface to bond the surface to the thermoplastic bonding component (via thermoplastic cement 14) wherein the first component of an ink jet printing module includes lead zirconium titanate (column 5, lines 9-12).

However, Deyoung et al. does not disclose that the thermoplastic bonding component has a thickness between 10 micron and 125 microns.

Nevertheless, Moynihan et al. discloses that the thermoplastic bonding component has a thickness between 1 micron and 150 microns (15 microns, column 5, lines 64-67) for the purpose of effectively bonding a piezoelectric element to a flex print element (column 3, lines 2-3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the thickness of thermoplastic bonding component of DeYoung et al. to that taught by Moynihan et al. for the purpose of effectively bonding a piezoelectric element to a flex print element.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 29-33, 35-45, 48, 50-52, 54-58, 60, 61, 64-100, and 102-106 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the 35 USC 102 rejections, Applicants argue that claims 29 and 52 were previously rejected.

Examiner's position is that claim 101 was also previously rejected under 35 USC 102 and 103 as discussed above.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Takeuchi et al. (US 5,933,170) discloses an ink jet head (40) comprising two components (42, 44) bonded together (Fig. 1).

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/749,893 Page 6

Art Unit: 2863

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

February 10, 2005